

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA**

This case is before the court on the following pleadings filed by the pro se petitioner:

1. Motion to allow electronic filing privileges, (Docket Item No. 3); and
2. Additional Grounds for Petitioner's Petition for Relief from Conviction, etc., (Docket Item No. 4).

Based on the arguments and representations contained in the pleadings, it is
ORDERED as follows:

1. Motion to allow electronic filing privileges, (Docket Item No. 3), is **DENIED** because the pro se petitioner seeks to allow a person holding his power of attorney to file pleadings on his behalf electronically. The petitioner is advised that a pro se party may not act through a person holding a power of attorney. Only a licensed attorney of record may act on behalf of a party; and
2. Insofar as the petitioner has attempted to plead piecemeal, he is advised that the information contained in the pleading filed at Docket Item No. 4 will not be considered as part of his petition unless he files a unified amended petition containing all claims for relief and all factual bases for those claims.

The Clerk's Office shall provide a copy of this Order to the pro se Petitioner.

ENTERED: June 27, 2022.

/s/ *Pamela Meade Sargent*
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE